

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	", FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/684,014	10/06/2000	Paul Bilibin	PSTM0023/MRK	9818	
29524 75	29524 7590 02/18/2004			EXAMINER	
KHORSANDI PATENT LAW GROUP, A.L.C. 140 S. LAKE., SUITE 312 PASADENA, CA 91101-4710			JEANTY, ROMAIN		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·	•		3623		
			DATE MAILED: 02/18/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\leq			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/684,014	BILIBIN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Romain Jeanty	3623			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 O	<u>ctober 2000</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers	·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language profits 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not received c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) t sentence of the specification or visional application has been received c priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No d in this National Stage d. e) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet. eived. and/or 121 since a specific			
Attachment(s)					
l) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>5 ∈</u>	5) Notice of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/684,014

Art Unit: 3623

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Non-final Office action is in response to the filing of this application on October 6 2000. Claims 1-6 are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-6 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dialog (A 'Blue Chip' Mailroom Investment.) in view of Pintsov (U.S. Patent No. 6,009,416).

As per claim1, Dialog discloses a self-service postal system comprising:

determine for each of a plurality of users upon input by each user of a particular origin postal code and a particular destination postal code an origin rating zone identifier corresponding to the particular origin postal code for each of a plurality of carriers (a user inputting a package identification number using a hand-held portable entry at a remote station, a courier code and an a destination identifier). Note pages 1 and 2. Dialog does not explicitly disclose a destination rating zone identifier corresponding to the particular destination postal code for each of the plurality of carriers. Pinstsov in the same field of endeavor, discloses a mail generation system comprising a mail rating parameter such as postal zone (col. 4, lines 18-30). Pintsov further discloses the use of Local Area Network (Internet) for user communication which is equivalent to "wherein each user accesses the shipping management computer system over a global communications network using a client computer device, and wherein each user client computer

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/684,014

Art Unit: 3623

device has an individual electronic connection to the global communications network" (col. 7, lines 3-9). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the teachings of Dialog to incorporate the rating zone parameters as evidenced by Pintsov. Doing so would improve flexibility for the mailer in creating mail and a high level of security for payment and evidencing of appropriate carrier service.

As per claim 2, Dialog further discloses calculating for each of the plurality of users upon each request by each user a preview shipping rate for each of a plurality of services offered by each of the plurality of carriers for shipping a particular parcel according to a set of parcel characteristics shipping rules for the particular carrier and according to a set of pricing rules for each particular service offered by the particular carrier as applied to a set of parcel specifications for the particular parcel input by the particular user and as applied to the origin rating zone identifier and the destination rating zone identifier (the computer for calculating shipping cost using the destination and carrier codes). Note page 2, paragraph 1.

With regard to claim 3, all of its limitations are parallel to the limitations of claim 1 and is therefore analyzed based on the same rationale.

With regard to claim 4, all of its limitations are parallel to the limitations of claim 2 and is therefore analyzed based on the same rationale.

With regard to claim 5, all of its limitations are parallel to the limitations of claim 1 and is therefore analyzed based on the same rationale.

With regard to claim 6, all of its limitations are parallel to the limitations of claim 2 and is therefore analyzed based on the same rationale.

Conclusion

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/684,014

Art Unit: 3623

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's 4.

disclosure.

Cordery et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,781,634) discloses a method for preparing a.

mailpieces.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed Romain Jeanty whose telephone number is (703) 308-9585. The

examiner can normally be reached Monday-Thursday from 7:30 am to 6:00 pm. If attempts to

reach the examiner are not successful, the examiner's supervisor, Tariq R Hafiz can be reached

at (703) 305-9643.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

or faxed to: (703) 305-7687

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive,

Arlington VA, Seventh floor receptionist.

Romain Jeanty

Primary Examiner

December 14, 2003